

## CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051 Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950 E-Mail: siting.council@po.state.ct.us

Web Site: www.state.ct.us/csc/index.htm

Mr. Christopher B. Fisher, Esq. Cuddy & Feder & Worby 90 Maple Avenue White Plains, NY 10601-5196

RE:

EM-AT&T-049-020401 - AT&T Wireless notice of intent to modify an existing telecommunications facility located at Bright Meadow Boulevard, Enfield, Connecticut.

Dear Atty. Fisher:

At a public meeting held on April 25, 2002, the Connecticut Siting Council (Council) acknowledged your notice to modify this existing telecommunications facility, pursuant to Section 16-50j-73 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies.

The proposed modifications are to be implemented as specified here and in your notice[s] dated March 25, 2002. The modifications are in compliance with the exception criteria in Section 16-50j-72 (b) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies as changes to an existing facility site that would not increase tower height, extend the boundaries of the tower site, increase noise levels at the tower site boundary by six decibels, and increase the total radio frequencies electromagnetic radiation power density measured at the tower site boundary to or above the standard adopted by the State Department of Environmental Protection pursuant to General Statutes § 22a-162. This facility has also been carefully modeled to ensure that radio frequency emissions are conservatively below State and federal standards applicable to the frequencies now used on this tower.

This decision is under the exclusive jurisdiction of the Council. Any additional change to this facility will require explicit notice to this agency pursuant to Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section 16-50j-73. Such notice shall include all relevant information regarding the proposed change with cumulative worst-case modeling of radio frequency exposure at the closest point of uncontrolled access to the tower base, consistent with Federal Communications Commission, Office of Engineering and Technology, Bulletin 65. Any deviation from this format may result in the Council implementing enforcement proceedings pursuant to General Statutes § 16-50u including, without limitation, imposition of expenses resulting from such failure and of civil penalties in an amount not less than one thousand dollars per day for each day of construction or operation in material violation.

Thank you for your attention and cooperation.

Chairman

MAG/DM/laf

Honorable Mary Lou Strom, Mayor, Town of Enfield

**Sprint Sites** 



## CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051

Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950

E-Mail: siting.council@po.state.ct.us

Web Site: www.state.ct.us/csc/index.htm

April 30, 2002

Mr. Christopher B. Fisher, Esq. Cuddy & Feder & Worby 90 Maple Avenue White Plains, NY 10601-5196

RE:

**EM-AT&T-084-020401** – AT&T Wireless notice of intent to modify an existing telecommunications facility located at 528 Wheelers Farm Road, Milford, Connecticut.

Dear Atty. Fisher:

At a public meeting held on April 25, 2002, the Connecticut Siting Council (Council) acknowledged your notice to modify this existing telecommunications facility, pursuant to Section 16-50j-73 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies.

The proposed modifications are to be implemented as specified here and in your notice[s] dated April 12, 2002. The modifications are in compliance with the exception criteria in Section 16-50j-72 (b) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies as changes to an existing facility site that would not increase tower height, extend the boundaries of the tower site, increase noise levels at the tower site boundary by six decibels, and increase the total radio frequencies electromagnetic radiation power density measured at the tower site boundary to or above the standard adopted by the State Department of Environmental Protection pursuant to General Statutes § 22a-162. This facility has also been carefully modeled to ensure that radio frequency emissions are conservatively below State and federal standards applicable to the frequencies now used on this tower.

This decision is under the exclusive jurisdiction of the Council. Any additional change to this facility will require explicit notice to this agency pursuant to Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section 16-50j-73. Such notice shall include all relevant information regarding the proposed change with cumulative worst-case modeling of radio frequency exposure at the closest point of uncontrolled access to the tower base, consistent with Federal Communications Commission, Office of Engineering and Technology, Bulletin 65. Any deviation from this format may result in the Council implementing enforcement proceedings pursuant to General Statutes § 16-50u including, without limitation, imposition of expenses resulting from such failure and of civil penalties in an amount not less than one thousand dollars per day for each day of construction or operation in material violation.

Thank you for your attention and cooperation.

Very truly yours.

11/1 elui H.

Chairman

MAG/DM/laf

c: Honorable James L. Richetelli, Jr., Mayor, City of Milford

Mr. Mark Roberts, SBA

90 MAPLE AVENUE WHITE PLAINS, NEW YORK 10601-5196

> (914) 761-1300 TELECOPIER (914) 761-5372/6405 www.cfwlaw.com

> > 500 FIFTH AVENUE NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10110 (212) 944-2841 TELECOPIER (212) 944-2843

> > WESTAGE BUSINESS CENTER 300 SOUTH LAKE DRIVE FISHKILL, NEW YORK 12524 (845) 896-2229 TELECOPIER (845) 896-3672

STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT NORWALK, CONNECTICUT

CUDDY & FEDER 1971-1995

WILLIAM S. NULL
DAWN M. PORTNEY
ELISABETH N. RADOW
NEIL T. RIMSKY
RUTH E. ROTH
JENNIFER L. VAN TUYL
CHAUNCEY L. WALKER (also CA)
ROBERT L. WOLFE
DAVID E. WORBY

Of Counsel
MICHAEL R. EDELMAN
ANDREW A. GLICKSON (also CT)
ROBERT L. OSAR (also TX)
MARYANN M. PALERMO
ROBERT C. SCHNEIDER
LOUIS R. TAFFERA

#### VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Hon. Mortimer Gelston, Chairman and Members of the Siting Council
Connecticut Siting Council
10 Franklin Square
New Britain, Connecticut 06051

March 25, 2002 BECEIVED

APR \_ 1 2997

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Re:

NEIL J. ALEXANDER (also CT)

THOMAS R. BEIRNE (also DC)

THOMAS M. BLOOMER

JOSEPH P. CARLUCCI KENNETH J. DUBROFF

SUSAN E.H. GORDON

WAYNE E. HELLER (also CT)

KENNETH F. JURIST MICHAEL L. KATZ (also NJ)

DANIEL F. LEARY (also CT)

JOSHUA E. KIMERLING (also CT)

KAREN G. GRANIK JOSHUA J. GRAUER

BARRY E. LONG

ROBERT FEDER

CHARLES T. BAZYDLO (also NJ)

CHRISTOPHER B. FISHER (also CT)

ANTHONY B. GIOFFRE III (also CT)

AT&T Wireless – TS-Nextel/AT&T 049-991021

Bright Meadow Boulevard,

Enfield, Connecticut

Notice of Exempt Modification

Hon. Mortimer Gelston, Chairman and Members of the Siting Council:

On November 9, 1999 the Council ruled that AT&T's proposed shared use of an existing Sprint facility in Enfield complied with Section 16-50aa of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies (TS-Nextel/AT&T 049-991021) permitting AT&T to install panel antennas on the existing tower, with an associated equipment shelter located within the fenced compound.

This notice of exempt modification is being provided pursuant to Section 16-50j-72 of the Council's regulations. AT&T will be installing additional equipment within the existing shelter at the facility. There will be no other infrastructure changes to AT&T's facility.

The proposed addition of equipment to AT&T Wireless' facility does not constitute a "modification" of an existing facility as defined in Connecticut General Statutes Section 16-50i(d). The proposed addition to AT&T Wireless' facility will not result in an increase in the Tower's height or extend the boundaries of the existing fenced area surrounding the Tower. Further, there will be no increase in noise levels by six (6) decibels or more at the Tower site's

March 25, 2002 Page 2

boundary. AT&T has made measurements of the existing facility to confirm compliance with MPE limits and as set forth in a report prepared by Wireless Facilities, Inc., annexed hereto, the total radio frequency electromagnetic radiation power density at the Tower site's boundary will not be increased to or above the standard adopted by the Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection as set forth in Section 22a-162 of the Connecticut General Statutes. For all the foregoing reasons, addition of AT&T Wireless' equipment to its existing facility constitutes an exempt modification which will not have a substantially adverse environmental effect.

Accordingly, AT&T Wireless requests that the Connecticut Siting Council acknowledge that its proposed modification to the Bright Meadow Boulevard Facility meets the Council's exemption criteria and requests an acknowledgement of same.

Respectfully Submitted,

Christopher B. Fisher, Esq. On behalf of AT&T Wireless

cc: Town Manager, Town of Enfield

Darryl Hendrickson, Bechtel Telecommunications

Wireless Facilities, Inc. 1840 Michael Faraday Drive Suite 200 Reston, VA 20190

March 13, 2002

Mr. Mortimer A. Gelston, Chairman Connecticut Siting Council 10 Franklin Square New Britain, CT 06051

**RE:** FCC Compliance Statement for AT&T Site CT-157 (North Thompsonville-Sprint PCS Monopole)

Dear Mr. Gelston:

On behalf of AT&T Wireless, Wireless Facilities Inc. has performed office analyses for the above referenced site to determine compliance with FCC mandated Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits as defined in 47 CFR § 1.1310.

The table below gives a brief summary of the site location, its configuration and associated technical parameters.

### Summary of AT&T site configuration and technical parameters:

Site ID	CT-157		
Site Name	North Thompsonville-Sprint PCS		
	Monopole		
Latitude	42.02055		
Longitude	-72.58527		
Address of Structure	Bright Meadow Boulevard,		
	Enfield, CT		
Type of Structure	Monopole		
Structure Owner	Sprint PCS		
FCC Class and Type of Service	PCS TDMA (IS-136)		
	PCS GSM		
Operating Frequency	PCS Band		
Azimuths (deg.)	65, 185, 305		
Antenna Radiation Center, AGL	117 ft.		
Antenna Manufacturer	Allgon		
Antenna Type	Panel		

The mathematical equations used in evaluating the power density values are exactly as outlined in the Office of Engineering & Technology (OET) Bulletin Number 65 which contains the FCC guidelines for evaluating human exposure to radio-frequency electromagnetic fields.

In the case of a single radiating antenna, a prediction for power density in the far field of the antenna can be written as:

$$S = \frac{EIRP}{4\pi D^2} = \frac{1.64 * ERP}{4\pi D^2}$$

Where:

 $S = Power density in W/m^2$ 

EIRP = Effective isotropic radiated power (W)

ERP = Effective radiated power (W)

D = Distance in meters

Using the EPA's recommended factor of 1.6 for 100 % reflection, the worst case power density can be obtained by incorporating this factor into the above equation. If the distance, D, is in meters, the ERP is in Watts, then the worst case power density in  $\mu$ W/cm² is given by

$$S = \frac{33.4 * ERP}{D^2}$$
 (Section 2, OET bulletin 65).

Where:

 $S = Power density in \mu W/cm^2$ 

ERP = Effective radiated power (W)

D = Distance in meters

The calculations for the power density measurement make the following assumptions:

- ◆ WFI's analysis considered all existing antennas of all carriers and the future GSM deployment AT&T is proposing.
- ♦ The formula utilized for the calculation is taken from the FCC recommended OET bulletin 65 (shown above).
- ♦ The worst case scenario was assumed with all the antennas for both the current and the future installation pointing to the base of the tower.
- ♦ A 100 % duty cycle with maximum power and the maximum number of channels per sector for each system was assumed. (see following table)

Description	AT&T PCS		Sprint PCS	Bell Atlantic Cellular	Nextel ESMR	XM Satellite Radio Corporation	
	Current	Future					
Max. ERP/Ch, Watts	130.7	275	122	Not available	Not available	306.91	
Max. No. of Ch/Sector	16	4	11	Not available	Not available	2	
Max. ERP/Sector, Watts	2091.2	1100	1342	2000	900	613.82	
Antenna Centerline, ft.	117	117	147	137	127	142	

The maximum worst-case values of the power density for this analysis are outlined below:

Provider/Carrier		Point of Worst Case Predicted Level	Predicted Value μW/cm²	Maximum Limit for PCS or Cellular Band Uncontrolled Environment Set by FCC μW/cm²	% of the Standard
AT&T	PCS TDMA	Base of the tower	60.99	1000	6.10
	PCS GSM	Base of the tower	32.08	1000	3.21
Sprint, PC	CS	Base of the tower	24.26	1000	2.43
Bell Atlan	tic Mobile, Cellular	Base of the tower	41.88	550	7.61
Nextel, ES	MR	Base of the tower	22.09	567	3.89
XM Satellite Radio Corporation		Base of the tower	11.92	1000	1.19
			I	Total % of Standard	24.43

The results of these analyses indicate that output power levels for the AT&T owned equipment deployed at the above referenced facility meets FCC approved exposure limits for all uncontrolled areas where general population exposure may exist. Thus, the maximum level of RF radiation contributed by AT&T in all uncontrolled areas (Assuming a worst case scenario and a 100 % duty cycle for all the transmitters.) is equal or less than 9.31% (6.10 + 3.21) of the maximum permissible exposure limit mandated by the FCC and endorsed by the NCRP and ANSI/IEEE.

The worst-case composite level of RF radiation in all uncontrolled areas for all identified systems operating at this facility is equal or less than 24.43 % of the FCC maximum permissible exposure limit.

To the best of my knowledge, the statements made and information disclosed in this study are complete and accurate.

Sincerely,

Wireless Facilities, Inc.

Dan Hardiman

Senior Engineer II

Fixed Network Engineering

90 MAPLE AVENUE WHITE PLAINS, NEW YORK 10601-5196

> (914) 761-1300 TELECOPIER (914) 761-5372/6405 www.cfwlaw.com

> > 500 FIFTH AVENUE NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10110 (212) 944-2841 TELECOPIER (212) 944-2843

> > WESTAGE BUSINESS CENTER 300 SOUTH LAKE DRIVE FISHKILL, NEW YORK 12524 (845) 896-2229 TELECOPIER (845) 896-3672

STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT NORWALK, CONNECTICUT

CUDDY & FEDER 1971-1995

WILLIAM S. NULL
DAWN M. PORTNEY
ELISABETH N. RADOW
NEIL T. RIMSKY
RUTH E. ROTH
JENNIFER L. VAN TUYL
CHAUNCEY L. WALKER (also CA)
ROBERT L. WOLFE
DAVID E. WORBY

Of Counsel MICHAEL R. EDELMAN ANDREW A. GLICKSON (also CT) ROBERT L. OSAR (also TX) MARYANN M. PALERMO ROBERT C. SCHNEIDER LOUIS R. TAFFERA

March 25 202

**VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS** 

Hon. Mortimer Gelston, Chairman and Members of the Siting Council Connecticut Siting Council 10 Franklin Square New Britain, Connecticut 06051 APR - 1 2002

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Re:

NEIL J. ALEXANDER (also CT) CHARLES T. BAZYDLO (also NJ)

THOMAS R. BEIRNE (also DC)

CHRISTOPHER B. FISHER (also CT)

ANTHONY B. GIOFFRE III (also CT)

THOMAS M. BLOOMER

JOSEPH P. CARLUCCI

KENNETH J. DUBROFF

SUSAN E.H. GORDON

WAYNE E. HELLER (also CT) KENNETH F. JURIST

MICHAEL L. KATZ (also NJ)

DANIEL F. LEARY (also CT)

JOSHUA E. KIMERLING (also CT)

KAREN G. GRANIK JOSHUA J. GRAUER

BARRY E. LONG

ROBERT FEDER

AT&T Wireless - TS-AT&T/SCLP -084-991014 528 Wheelers Farm Road, Milford, Connecticut Notice of Exempt Modification

Hon. Mortimer Gelston, Chairman and Members of the Siting Council:

The Council has previously ruled that AT&T's shared use of the existing Sprint facility complied with Section 16-50aa of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies (TS-AT&T/SCLP -084-991014) permitting AT&T to install panel antennas at the 130' level on the existing tower, with associated equipment cabinets located on a concrete pad within the fenced compound.

This notice of exempt modification is being provided pursuant to Section 16-50j-72 of the Council's regulations. AT&T will be replacing three existing antennas "in kind" and installing an additional equipment cabinet (approximately 76"H x 76"W x 30"D) on AT&T's existing concrete pad at the facility. There will be no other infrastructure changes to AT&T's facility.

The proposed replacement antennas and addition of equipment to AT&T Wireless' facility does not constitute a "modification" of an existing facility as defined in Connecticut General Statutes Section 16-50i(d). The proposed modifications to AT&T Wireless' facility will

March 25, 2002 Page 2

not result in an increase in the Tower's height or extend the boundaries of the existing fenced area surrounding the Tower. Further, there will be no increase in noise levels by six (6) decibels or more at the Tower site's boundary. AT&T made measurements of the existing facility to confirm compliance with MPE limits and as set forth in a report prepared by Wireless Facilities, Inc., annexed hereto, the total radio frequency electromagnetic radiation power density at the Tower site's boundary will not be increased to or above the standard adopted by the Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection as set forth in Section 22a-162 of the Connecticut General Statutes. For all the foregoing reasons, the proposed modifications to AT&T Wireless' existing facility constitutes an exempt modification which will not have a substantially adverse environmental effect.

AT&T Wireless respectfully submits that the proposed replacement antennas and addition of the equipment to the Wheeler's Farm Road Facility meets the Council's exemption criteria and requests an acknowledgment of same.

Respectfully Submitted,

Christopher B. Fisher, Esq. On behalf of AT&T Wireless

cc: Mayor, City of Milford

Darryl Hendrickson, Bechtel Telecommunications

Wireless Facilities, Inc. 1840 Michael Faraday Drive Suite 200 Reston, VA 20190

March 13, 2002

00

Mr. Mortimer A. Gelston, Chairman Connecticut Siting Council 10 Franklin Square New Britain, CT 06051

RE: FCC Compliance Statement for AT&T Site CT-097 (Milford-Baldwins Crossing-Sprint PCS Monopole)

Dear Mr. Gelston:

On behalf of AT&T Wireless, Wireless Facilities Inc. has performed office analyses for the above referenced site to determine compliance with FCC mandated Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits as defined in 47 CFR § 1.1310.

The table below gives a brief summary of the site location, its configuration and associated technical parameters.

### Summary of AT&T site configuration and technical parameters:

Site ID	CT-097		
Site Name	Milford-Baldwins Crossing-Sprint		
	PCS Monopole		
Latitude	41.24833		
Longitude	-73.07944		
Address of Structure	528 Wheeler's Farm Road,		
	Milford, CT		
Type of Structure	Monopole		
Structure Owner	Sprint PCS		
FCC Class and Type of Service	PCS TDMA (IS-136)		
	PCS GSM		
Operating Frequency	PCS Band		
Azimuths (deg.)	30, 150, 270		
Antenna Radiation Center, AGL	130 ft.		
Antenna Manufacturer	EMS Wireless		
Antenna Type	Panel		

The mathematical equations used in evaluating the power density values are exactly as outlined in the Office of Engineering & Technology (OET) Bulletin Number 65 which contains the FCC guidelines for evaluating human exposure to radio-frequency electromagnetic fields.

In the case of a single radiating antenna, a prediction for power density in the far field of the antenna can be written as:

$$S = \frac{EIRP}{4\pi D^2} = \frac{1.64 * ERP}{4\pi D^2}$$

Where:

 $S = Power density in W/m^2$ 

EIRP = Effective isotropic radiated power (W)

ERP = Effective radiated power (W)

D = Distance in meters

Using the EPA's recommended factor of 1.6 for 100 % reflection, the worst case power density can be obtained by incorporating this factor into the above equation. If the distance, D, is in meters, the ERP is in Watts, then the worst case power density in  $\mu$ W/cm<sup>2</sup> is given by

$$S = \frac{33.4 * ERP}{D^2}$$
 (Section 2, OET bulletin 65).

Where:

 $S = Power density in \mu W/cm^2$ 

ERP = Effective radiated power (W)

D = Distance in meters

The calculations for the power density measurement make the following assumptions:

- WFI's analysis considered all existing antennas of all carriers and the future GSM deployment AT&T is proposing.
- ◆ The formula utilized for the calculation is taken from the FCC recommended OET bulletin 65 (shown above).
- ♦ The worst case scenario was assumed with all the antennas for both the current and the future installation pointing to the base of the tower.
- ♦ A 100 % duty cycle with maximum power and the maximum number of channels per sector for each system was assumed. (see following table)

Description		AT&T PCS		SNET Cellular	Voicestream PCS
-	Current	Future	1		
Max. ERP/Ch, Watts	95.3	275	412	100	225
Max. No. of Ch/Sector	16	4	4	20	2
Max. ERP/Sector, Watts	1524.8	1100	1648	2000	450
Antenna Centerline, ft.	129.67	129.67	120	95	105

The maximum worst-case values of the power density for this analysis are outlined below:

Provider/Carrier		Point of Worst Case Predicted Level	Predicted Value μW/cm <sup>2</sup>	Maximum Limit for PCS or Cellular Band Uncontrolled Environment Set by FCC (μW/cm²)	% of the Standard
AT&T	PCS TDMA	Base of the tower	35.824	1000	3.582
	PCS GSM	Base of the tower	25.844	1000	2.584
Sprint, PC	SS	Base of the tower	45.566	1000	4.557
SNET, Cel	llular	Base of the tower	90.729	550	16.496
Voicestream, PCS		Base of the tower	16.498	1000	1.650
				Total % of Standard	28.869

The results of these analyses indicate that output power levels for the AT&T owned equipment deployed at the above referenced facility meets FCC approved exposure limits for all uncontrolled areas where general population exposure may exist. Thus, the maximum level of RF radiation contributed by AT&T in all uncontrolled areas (Assuming a worst case scenario and a 100 % duty cycle for all the transmitters.) is equal or less than 6.166% (3.582 + 2.584) of the maximum permissible exposure limit mandated by the FCC and endorsed by the NCRP and ANSI/IEEE.

The worst-case composite level of RF radiation in all uncontrolled areas for all identified systems operating at this facility is equal or less than 28.869 % of the FCC maximum permissible exposure limit.

To the best of my knowledge, the statements made and information disclosed in this study are complete and accurate.

Sincerely,

Wireless Facilities, Inc.

Dan Hardiman Senior Engineer II

Fixed Network Engineering



# City of Milford, Connecticut

- Founded 1639 -70 West River Street - Milford, CT 06460-3317 Tel 203-783-3245 FAX 203-783-3303

Planning and Zoning Office

April 23, 2002



S. Derek Phelps, Executive Director Connecticut Siting Council 10 Franklin Square New Britain, CT 06051

Re: EM-AT&T - 049-064-020401

Dear Mr. Phelps:

Although 6 pages of correspondence was sent regarding this request of AT&T, no plans were received.

Would you please send the elevation view(s), even if they are at a reduced scale, they would still be helpful to determine if the City has any interest to offer further commentary.

Very truly yours,

PETER W. CRABTREE Assistant City Planner

Pity W. Lablys

PWC:bh

90 MAPLE AVENUE WHITE PLAINS, NEW YORK 10801-5196

> (914) 761-1300 TELECOPIER (914) 761-5372/6405 www.cfwlaw.com

> > 500 FIFTH AVENUE NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10110 (212) 844-2841 TELECOPIER (212) 944-2843

WESTAGE BUSINESS CENTER 300 SOUTH LAKE DRIVE FISHKILL, NEW YORK 12524 (845) \$98-2229 TELECOPIER (845) 898-3872

STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT NORWALK, CONNECTICUT

April 8, 2002

GUDDY & FEDER 1971-1996

WILLIAM 6 NULL DAWN M TOBRINEY ELESARE HEN, RADOW NEIL T. TEMOKY NUTH F. TOSH JLANNEY Y. L. VAN TUYL CHAURSEY C. WAI KEN (WEO OA) ROPICRE L. WOLTE OAND E. WOLTE OAND E. WOLTE

OF COUNTED

MICHAEL R LOCILIAN
ANDRIW A GLICKSON (ODE CT)
RUGETTI L COSAR (OTE TX)
MALIYANN M PALLIMO
RODLAY C SCHNI IDER
LOUIS R TAFLERA

VIA FACSMILIE 860-827-2050 Connecticut Siting Council 10 Franklin Square New Britain, Connecticut 06051 Atta: Lisa

Re:

NEIL, J. ALTKANDER (E.O. CF)
GRANDER, PARYTH O BILLIONAL
TROMAND REDIDING (1950 DA)
HICKAND DEUTRING
JOSEPH OF CONTROL
FUNDED J. DURRETT
HICKEST OF DER TO PERCENT (1950 CF)
ANTHONY S. GRANDER III (1950 CF)
SUSAN EST GODDON

ATTRONY B. CHATEE III CHEO CT, SURANI SI CADIDON EATENG CHANGE JOHARN J. GIVAGER WAYIJET HELLTIT (dep CT) KENESTIT J. JIGGEY MICHAELT, KATZ (dep MT) JOSHVA E. KHIGALING (assa CT) UANGLY L. LOTO DANGY L. LOTO

AT&T Wireless Notice of Exempt Modification

TS-NextoVAT&T 049-991021

Bright Mendow Boulevard, Enfield, Connecticut

TS-AT&T/SCLP-084-991014

528 Wheelers Farm Road, Milford, Connectiout

Dear Lisa:

As discussed, with respect to the above mentioned facilities, we submitted one check in the amount of \$500.00 as the filing fee for both existing AT&T Facilities which are now proposed for minor modifications. In the future we will include a cover letter identifying these particular sites. We would appreciate it if these matters were placed on the next available agenda for acknowledgment by the Council. Should the Council or staff have any questions regarding this matter, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Linda Grant

ce: Christopher B. Fisher, Esq.



## CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051 Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950 E-Mail: siting.council@po.state.ct.us Web Site: www.state.ct.us/csc/index.htm

April 15, 2002

Honorable Mary Lou Strom Mayor Town of Enfield 820 Enfield Street Enfield, CT 06082

RE:

EM-AT&T-049-084-020401 - AT&T Wireless notice of intent to modify an existing telecommunications facility located at Bright Meadow Boulevard, Enfield, Connecticut.

Dear Mayor Strom:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) received this request to modify an existing telecommunications facility, pursuant to Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section 16-50j-72.

The Council will consider this item at the next meeting scheduled for April 25, 2002, at 1:30 p.m. in Hearing Room One, Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, Connecticut.

Please call me or inform the Council if you have any questions or comments regarding this proposal.

Thank you for your cooperation and consideration.

Very truly yours

S. Derek Phelps
Executive Director

SDP/esc

Enclosure: Notice of Intent

c: Roger Alsbaugh, Assistant Town Planner, Town of Enfield Scott A. Shanley, Town Manager, Town of Enfield



## CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051 Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950 E-Mail: siting.council@po.state.ct.us Web Site: www.state.ct.us/csc/index.htm

April 15, 2002

Honorable James L. Richetelli, Jr. Mayor City of Milford Parsons Complex 70 West River Street Milford, CT 06460-3364

RE:

EM-AT&T-049-084-020401 - AT&T Wireless notice of intent to modify an existing telecommunications facility located at 528 Wheelers Farm Road, Milford, Connecticut.

Dear Mayor Richetelli:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) received this request to modify an existing telecommunications facility, pursuant to Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section 16-50j-72.

The Council will consider this item at the next meeting scheduled for April 25, 2002, at 1:30 p.m. in Hearing Room One, Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, Connecticut.

Please call me or inform the Council if you have any questions or comments regarding this proposal.

Thank you for your cooperation and consideration.

Very truly yours

Executive Director

SDP/esc

Enclosure: Notice of Intent

c: Wade Pierce, City Planner, City of Milford